BUSINESS MEETING

BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION

AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In	the	Matter	of:	
Bus	sines	ss Meeti	ing	
	,			

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

HEARING ROOM A

1516 NINTH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 10, 2005

10:03 A.M.

Reported by: Peter Petty

Contract No. 150-04-002

ii

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

Joseph Desmond, Chairperson

Arthur Rosenfeld

John L. Geesman

James D. Boyd

STAFF PRESENT

Scott Matthews representing the Executive Director

Arlene Ichien representing the Chief Counsel

Song Her, Acting Secretariat

Martha Krebs

Gail Wiggett

Jim Holland

Claudia Orlando

Alec Jenkins

Ann Peterson

Don Kondoleon

PUBLIC ADVISER

Margret Kim

iii

INDEX

		Page
Proc	eedings	1
Awar	d Presentation	1
Item	s	1
1	AMP Resources (Surprise Valley), LLC	3/6
2	Appliance Efficiency Regulations Proposed Amendments	5
3	Antelope Valley Union High School Distr	rict 6
4	Trustees of the California State Univers	sity 8
5	Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory	10
6	Center for Energy Efficiency Renewable Technologies	12
7	Minutes	14
8	Commission Committee and Oversight	15
9	Chief Counsel's Report	16
10	Executive Director's Report	17
11	Legislative Director's Report	19
12	Public Adviser's Report	19
13	Public Comment	20
Adjo	urnment	20
Cert	ificate of Reporter	21

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	10:03 a.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: I'd like to call
4	this meeting to order. Please rise and join me in
5	reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.
6	(Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was
7	recited in unison.)
8	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Welcome, everyone.
9	It's so nice that we have Commissioner Rosenfeld
LO	back from his safari journey in Africa. So,
L1	COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Junket.
L2	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Yeah, that was
L3	your personal vacation, though, wasn't it?
L4	COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Yes.
L5	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay, before we
L6	begin to move through the agenda items I have one
L7	change, and that is an award that we'd like to
L8	present. And receiving that award will be Martha
L9	Krebs; and this is for the Life Faire Showcase,
20	best of category and best of and the 2005
21	energy award.
22	Let me just read a little bit about the
23	background here so folks know what this is. This
24	is a product which is called SPOT, the sensor
25	placement and orientation tool. It was a product

- designed under the Energy Commission's PIER
- 2 research program within the building area. And it
- 3 received two top awards from the 2005 Life Faire
- 4 International Convention in the New Products
- 5 Showcase.
- The tool itself, SPOT, which was
- 7 developed by AEC under the PIER's direction,
- 8 received the top spot in the best-of category for
- 9 research, publications, design and analysis
- 10 software. And top recognition for excellence in
- lighting energy management and savings with
- 12 controls and control software.
- 13 It was developed with classroom
- 14 daylighting in mind, but can be used for all types
- of spaces. It handles top and side daylighting
- 16 strategies, modeling lighting sources and it
- 17 really is intended to establish optimal placement
- 18 for sensors within buildings.
- 19 And it is available at no cost; it is
- 20 freeware, available downloadable from the AEC
- 21 Corporation, and I'm sure also the Commission
- could provide access to that, as well.
- I would also point out that they 220
- entries and 34 awards presented. So, my
- 25 congratulations to AEC and PIER. And if you'd

```
1 come up and accept these awards, that'd be great.
```

- 2 Or did you want to add anything to that?
- 3 MS. KREBS: I just want to say that the
- 4 PIER program overall works very hard to make
- 5 technology transfer happen. And it's nice to be
- 6 recognized. And it's important for me to say that
- 7 the people who really did this were in the
- 8 building efficiency program led by Nancy Jenkins
- 9 at the time. Thank you.
- 10 (Applause.)
- 11 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: I don't know if
- 12 any of the Commissioners wanted to add --
- 13 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Just to echo
- 14 Martha's words. It's amazing how we keep
- 15 improving the efficiency of lighting in commercial
- 16 buildings.
- 17 I think in the time I've been in this
- game, lighting has come down -- when I first got
- 19 started a typical intensity was five watts per
- 20 square foot. And now people get away with close
- 21 to a half a watt per square foot. That's a gain
- of a factor of ten. That's pretty wonderful.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Thank you. Okay,
- 24 moving on then. First item on the agenda for
- 25 consideration is AMP Resources, Sunrise Valley.

1 And this is possible approval of a proposed change

- 2 from Lake City Geothermal to AMP Resources, which
- is the Surprise -- I'm sorry, I said Sunrise --
- 4 Surprise Valley, LLC, reflecting a change in name
- 5 and ownership of the original grant application.
- 6 Ms. Wiggett.
- 7 MS. WIGGETT: Basically this is simply a
- 8 request to approve what is effectively a new
- 9 recipient. The technical people from Lake City
- 10 Geothermal were transferred in toto to AMP
- 11 Resources, Surprise Valley. It's a new entity
- 12 because it has a new name and the owners are new,
- and the financial people are new. But the
- 14 technical people are the same, and the project is
- 15 the same.
- 16 And it was approved for an award under
- 17 the GRDA program in June 2004 business meeting.
- 18 But then they sold the company before we could do
- 19 the funding agreement.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay, and as I
- 21 understand it there's no change in the amount of
- 22 Commission funding, so unless there's any further
- 23 comments I'll look for a motion.
- 24 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: I move item 1.
- 25 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: Second.

1	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: So moved.
2	Item number 2, Appliance Efficiency
3	Regulations. This is a notice of proposed action
4	for the current appliance efficiency regulations
5	addressing the 45-day and the 15-day language.
6	Mr. Holland, if you'll I believe this
7	is primarily administrative.
8	MR. HOLLAND: Good morning,
9	Commissioners. I'm Jim Holland from the appliance
10	program. And because we received comments on our
11	45-day language edition of the amendments to the
12	appliance efficiency regulations, we are going to
13	issue 15-day language officially on or about 22
14	August.
15	And we will be issuing the revised
16	proposed amendments to the appliance efficiency
17	regulations on or before 22 August. Staff
18	anticipates presenting these revised amendments to
19	the Commission for possible adoption at the
20	September 7th business meeting, barring any
21	additional changes that need to be made.
22	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Any further
23	discussion? Motion?
24	COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: I move item 2.

COMMISSIONER BOYD: Second.

1	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: All those in
2	favor?
3	(Ayes.)
4	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Opposed? So
5	moved. Commissioner Boyd has correctly pointed
6	out I failed to call for the vote on item number
7	1, so we'll go back.
8	We had a motion and a second.
9	All those in favor?
10	(Ayes.)
11	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Opposed? So
12	moved. Thank you.
13	Back to agenda item number 3, the
14	Antelope Valley Union High School District. This
15	is the possible approval of a request to include
16	energy management systems to the scope of work in
17	the Antelope Valley Union High School District
18	loan.
19	The District already has received a \$2
20	million loan that was approved from the Commission
21	for the installation of efficient lighting and
22	controls.
23	I note that they have been able to

achieve additional rebates and have additional

moneys under the original loan, so, Ms. Orlando.

24

1	MS. ORLANDO: Yes, the Antelope Valley
2	Union High School District participated in the
3	Energy Commission's Bright Schools Program back in
4	2001, and received comprehensive energy audits of
5	11 sites and administrative support sites. They
б	also had design review of three modernization
7	projects at high schools.

And in the project lighting retrofits were identified, as well as HVAC improvements that include energy management systems.

The District then took a \$2 million loan for financing lighting improvements and replacement of heat pumps. They were also able to include more of the lighting projects in the modernization than they had originally anticipated when they applied for the loan.

Because the loan specifically stated replacement of heat pumps, it did not include energy management systems that were identified in the energy audit and the modernization design review.

So now the District would like to include energy management systems in the scope of work. There will be no change to the loan amount. They will use the rebate and the remainder of the

```
1 loan to finance this project. It will allow them
```

- 2 to put energy management systems in all of their
- 3 high schools.
- 4 So the staff is recommending approval of
- 5 adding the scope of work to the loan and there'll
- 6 be no change in the loan amount.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay. With no
- 8 change in the loan amount, and I would also note a
- 9 reduction in the simple payback for the school
- 10 district --
- 11 MS. ORLANDO: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: -- it's an
- improvement. Any further discussion?
- 14 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Sounded good to
- the Energy Efficiency Committee. I move item 3.
- 16 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: Second.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: All those in
- 18 favor?
- 19 (Ayes.)
- 20 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Opposed? So
- 21 moved.
- MS. ORLANDO: Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Item number 4,
- 24 it's the Trustees of the California State
- 25 University system. Possible approval of 11 grant

1	applications	totaling	\$823,638	to	the	Energy

- 2 Innovation Small Grant Program in response to
- 3 solicitation cycle 04-02. Mr. Jenkins.
- 4 MR. JENKINS: Good morning, Chairman
- 5 Desmond and Commissioners. I am Alec Jenkins; and
- 6 I am the Program Manager for the Energy Innovation
- 7 Small Grant Program.
- 8 Item 4 on the agenda consists of 11
- 9 competitively selected projects from 72 that were
- 10 submitted to the solicitation. Each project
- 11 represents an innovation that has the potential to
- 12 bring significant value to California electric
- 13 ratepayers.
- 14 Seven of the 11 projects are from small
- 15 businesses. The remaining four projects are from
- 16 academic researchers.
- 17 The projects were approved by the R&D
- 18 Committee, and I recommend them for your
- 19 consideration and approval.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay. Discussion?
- 21 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: I think this
- is one of the best things we do in the PIER
- program, so I would move the item.
- 24 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Yes, Alec
- 25 convinced us they're all interesting, all of them.

```
1 I move.
```

- 2 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay, unless
- 3 there's any further comments then call for the
- 4 vote.
- 5 All those in favor?
- 6 (Ayes.)
- 7 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Opposed? So
- 8 moved. Thank you.
- 9 MR. JENKINS: Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Item number 5,
- 11 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Possible
- 12 approval of contract 500-05-006 for \$250,000 for
- 13 codes and standards enhancement activities
- 14 supporting high-slope residential cool roofs.
- This is for Title 24 support, I believe.
- MS. PETERSON: Correct.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Ms. Peterson.
- MS. PETERSON: Good morning,
- 19 Commissioners and Chairman. My name is Ann
- 20 Peterson; I'm the Lead of the Buildings Program in
- 21 PIER.
- The item before you today is approval of
- 23 a contract with Lawrence Berkeley National
- Laboratory for \$250,000. This contract is
- 25 supported by the energy efficiency division and

will provide analysis and enhancements to

2 California's building energy efficiency standards

3 to encourage residential cool roofs.

4 In November of 2003 the Energy

5 Commission amended the building standards to adopt

cool roofs as a prescriptive requirement for low-

sloped roofs in nonresidential buildings.

This contract will develop codes and standard studies for application of cool roofs on residential low-sloped roofs and residential steep-sloped roofs.

A companion study is being sponsored by Pacific Gas and Electric that will develop codes and standards study for nonresidential, steepsloped roofs.

The overall technical goals of this project are to produce cost/benefit analysis, develop stakeholder consensus and supporting documentation and code language for the adoption of cool-colored roofing solar reflectance requirements for the 2008 standards.

The Research and Development Committee has given their approval for this item that's before you today. And I'd be happy to answer any questions that you have.

```
CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Questions?
 1
 2
                   COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: I move the
 3
         item.
 4
                   PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN:
                                              Second.
 5
                   CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: All those in
 6
         favor?
                   (Ayes.)
                   CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Opposed?
 8
         moved. Thank you.
 9
10
                   MS. PETERSON: Thank you.
11
                   CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Item number 6,
         Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable
12
13
         Technologies. Possible approval of contract 500-
14
         05-005 in the amount of $799,758 to provide a
15
         complete and comprehensive conceptual transmission
         development plan that will allow approximately
16
17
         4000 megawatts of wind power in the Tehachapi area
         and 2000 megawatts of geothermal power in the
18
19
         Imperial Valley area to reach load centers in
         California. Mr. Kondoleon.
20
21
                   MR. KONDOLEON: Good morning, Chairman
22
         and Commissioners. I'm here for Clare Laufenberg
```

who's taking a well-deserved vacation this week.

I am the Transmission Program Manager as you

23

24

25

all know.

And with regard to this contract, staff
recommends the Commission approve this proposed
contract with CEERT as a means of assuring that
appropriate leadership is provided in the
Tehachapi and Imperial Valley study groups to
resolve the ongoing institutional issues and to
insure that the required transmission upgrades are
implemented in a timely fashion.

I'm here to answer any questions you may have on this contract.

CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Any questions?

PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: Well, I would observe, Mr. Chairman, that FERC did us no favors in turning down the Edison Company's proposal for a renewable trunk line that would have served the Tehachapi area. And this contract, I think, is likely to prove critical to our ongoing efforts, as a state government, to try and get necessary infrastructure built to the renewable resource areas if we're going to develop those resources.

I think we've got a lot of work to do going forward that we'll take up in both the Energy Action Plan and in the IEPR. But this contract and the success that we've had with the study groups in both areas previously are a key

1	L	part	οf	that.

- 2 So I would move the item.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay.
- 4 COMMISSIONER BOYD: I second the item.
- 5 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: Second.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay, I certainly
- 7 concur, Commissioner Geesman, with furthering both
- 8 the state's RPS objectives as well as previous
- 9 recommendations contained in the IEPR. So we'll
- 10 call for a vote.
- 11 All those in favor?
- 12 (Ayes.)
- 13 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Opposed? So
- 14 moved. Thank you, Mr. Kondoleon.
- MR. KONDOLEON: Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Agenda item number
- 7 is approval of the minutes from the July 27,
- 18 2005 business meeting.
- 19 COMMISSIONER ROSENFELD: I move the
- 20 minutes.
- 21 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Second.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Those in favor?
- 23 (Ayes.)
- 24 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Opposed? So
- moved.

3 don't believe so.

PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: I'd like to take note of the Southern California Edison

Company's announcement yesterday of their Sterling solar project, which starts out as a one megawatt demonstration of Sterling technology in a solar thermal application. And is designed for development of a 500 megawatt field with an option to expand the project to 850 megawatts.

I know that we have previously bumped heads a bit with the company's lobbyists over singling Edison out, holding them to higher targets as our 2004 IEPR update recommended. But I think there are positive developments that flow from high expectations.

And I'm particularly pleased that the Edison Company has exerted the historic role that they played in the late '70s and early '80s in pioneering some of these technologies. I think yesterday was a good day for solar energy, a good day for the Southern California Edison Company, and a good day for California.

25 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay. I would

```
1 also note that in the federal energy legislation
```

- 2 signed by President Bush earlier this week
- 3 includes an extension of the renewable portfolio
- 4 credits -- excuse me, not portfolio, renewable
- 5 energy credits, as well as, since we're talking
- 6 about solar, an emphasis on a 30 percent tax
- 7 credit for both commercial and residential.
- 8 So, all very positive news in that
- 9 sense.
- Moving on then. Agenda item number 9,
- 11 Chief Counsel's report.
- 12 MS. ICHIEN: Arlene Ichien sitting in
- for Bill Chamberlain. There's nothing new to
- 14 report today.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay. Arlene, I
- 16 would ask that you go back to either Bill or
- Jonathan Blees. Not at the last meeting, but I
- 18 believe at the July 27th business meeting, there
- 19 was a request made by Commissioner Geesman and
- 20 myself that the legal department look into issues
- 21 around clean coal and whether or not California --
- 22 what the legal issues might be on imposing
- requirements on out-of-state procurement.
- 24 To date I have not heard anything back
- 25 from the legal department. I'd also note that we

1 have an IEPR workshop scheduled next Wednesday and

- 2 Thursday on clean coal. And these are very
- 3 relevant issues.
- 4 So I would ask that they please have
- 5 something to present to this Commission at the
- 6 next business meeting. And insure that it makes
- 7 its way into the IEPR report.
- 8 MS. ICHIEN: Okay. I do know that
- 9 Jonathan Blees is working on a legal memo --
- 10 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay.
- 11 MS. ICHIEN: -- to provide you.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Thank you.
- 13 Agenda item number 10, Executive
- 14 Director's report. Mr. Matthews.
- 15 MR. MATTHEWS: B.B. is in Tennessee for
- a well-deserved little break, although he's
- working on other business.
- 18 I would like to thank the IEPR Committee
- 19 for the excellent, although grueling, sessions
- 20 that you held with the staff, going through all
- 21 the various policy areas and asking for staff's
- 22 input and having an excellent discussion on each
- of those areas.
- 24 From the staff's point of view, it's
- 25 very rewarding to be able to participate in those

```
1 kind of just open and honest discussions. And
```

- 2 allow staff to feel that they have, you know,
- 3 input into the policy and so on.
- I was unable to attend all of them,
- 5 unfortunately; but I enjoyed what I was able to
- do. And so I, just on behalf of staff, would like
- 7 to thank you for that.
- 8 And especially, Melissa Jones, for
- 9 keeping us all on track, which was, given a staff
- 10 that loves to have long, wide-ranging discussions
- on abstract topics, keeping on schedule was a
- 12 masterful job. So, thank you.
- 13 COMMISSIONER BOYD: Thank you, Scott.
- 14 Maybe next year we can think about providing lunch
- 15 for these --
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 COMMISSIONER BOYD: -- these marathons.
- 18 MR. MATTHEWS: We'll put it in the
- 19 budget.
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Very good. Any
- further comments? I'd also like to extend my
- 23 appreciation to my colleagues here for taking the
- time to continue to attend those meetings. And
- 25 they are critical to the formulation of

```
1 California's energy.
```

- 2 And look forward to a very successful
- 3 and informative IEPR report when it comes out
- 4 later this year. So, staff also should be
- 5 acknowledged for the hard work that has gone in,
- 6 in addition to the Commissioners. It is clearly a
- 7 group effort, as well as the stakeholders who take
- 8 the time to attend those meeting, too.
- 9 Unless there are any further items, Mr.
- 10 Matthews?
- MR. MATTHEWS: No.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Okay. Item number
- 13 11, Legislative Director's report. I don't
- 14 believe we have -- okay.
- 15 Item number 12, Ms. Kim, Public
- 16 Adviser's report.
- 17 MS. KIM: Good morning. I just wanted
- to highlight a couple of IEPR workshops next week.
- 19 On Monday, the 15th and Tuesday, the 16th, the
- 20 IEPR Committee will be holding a workshop on
- 21 nuclear power. And on Wednesday and Thursday, the
- 22 17th and 18th, they'll be holding an IEPR workshop
- on clean coal and imports.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Great, thank you.
- MS. KIM: And that's all.

Τ	CHAIRPERSON DESMOND: Thank you. Item
2	number 13, do we have any public comments today?
3	No.
4	Okay, hearing no further comments,
5	nothing else from anyone in the audience, we'll
6	conclude this business meeting. Thank you.
7	(Whereupon, at 10:23 a.m., the business
8	meeting was adjourned.)
9	000
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, PETER PETTY, an Electronic Reporter, do hereby certify that I am a disinterested person herein; that I recorded the foregoing California Energy Commission Business Meeting; that it was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting, nor in any way interested in outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 16th day of August, 2005.

(916) 362-2345